

Single Bench

C-8. No. 2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

W.P. No. 522/2005

PETITIONER

P.R. No. 530/05
Presented by Sri. Dantewada
dated 10-02-05

RECEIVED
10-2-05
S. G. H. H.

1. Purushottam Bhoyar
S/o Chetan Ram
aged about 32 years R/o
Qr.No. 173, Type III/T.S./
High Tech Colony, Bacheli
P.O. Bacheli, Distt.: Dantewada
2. Smt. Pushpa Kange
W/o Shri G.S. Kange aged about
33 years
C/o Pawan Singh Thakur
Tribble Colony, Dantewada
3. Smt. Satyawati Kachhap W/o
Jaichandra Kachhap aged about
28 years C/o Smt. Bindu
Churendra, Behind Nari Niketan,
Dantewada
4. Ghana Ram Dhruve
S/o Samaru Ram
aged about 31 years R/o
Gajraj Camp kirandul C/o
Shri J.R. Dhruve (Principal)
Kirandul, Dist. Dantewada

Vs

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chattisgarh through
Secretary, Deptt. of Law & Legislative
(Election) Affairs, DKS Bhawan,
Raipur
2. The Chief Election officer,
Indravati Khand, Mantralaya Parisar
Raipur (C.G.)



Contd.....P/2

4
:: 2 ::

3. Collector-Cum-District Election officer
Distt. South Baster Dantewada (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(73)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 522/2005

Petitioners : Purushottam Bhoyar and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB : **Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

Present: Mr. Vinay Pandey, counsel for the petitioners.
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 19th day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are surplus employees engaged for the census work. The State Government has issued the order No. F 7-22/2004/1/3 Raipur, dated 16th January, 2007, whereunder the State Government has decided to consider cases of the surplus employees of census held in the year 1991-2001 for grant of regular appointment. This document is taken on record.
2. According to learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, this matter can be disposed of in the light of the order dated 16th January, 2007, to which learned counsel appearing for the respondents/State has no objection.
3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of with the direction that the respondent-authorities shall consider the cases of the petitioners in accordance with law in view of the circular dated 16th January, 2007, issued by the Government of Chhattisgarh, General Administration Department, Raipur. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge